

## Indice delle figure

### Index of the images

#### Chapter 1

Fig. 1 Elementary hysteresis loop on the energy profile

Fig. 2 Two wells energy landscape

Fig. 3 Fully random energy landscape

#### Chapter 2

Fig.1 Partial ordering of states, case two dimensional. Black locations are  $s_i=1$ , white locations are  $s_i=-1$ . In the case shown, the states are partially ordered and  $\{s_i\} > \{r_i\}$

Fig.2 Wiping-out property, in the  $H-I$  plane

Fig.3 The three fields used in the wiping-out demonstration:  $H(t)$  (solid line),  $H_{min}(t)$  (dot-dashed line), and  $H_{max}(t)$  (dotted line)

Fig.4 The only two possible topologically different avalanche configurations with  $s=3$ . The squares indicate the flipped spins, the lines indicate the bonds between them

Fig.5 RFIM hysteresis loops, at various degrees of order/disorder.  $N=900$  spins,  $R=0.5$  (straight line);  $R=1$  (dot-dashed line);  $R=2$  (dotted line);  $R=3$  (dashed line)

Fig.6 Remanence dependence on the  $R/J$  ratio

Fig.7 Coercive field dependence on the  $R/J$  ratio. Simulations with  $N=2500, 6400, 10000$  spins

Fig.8 Influence on  $D(n)$  of the boundary conditions,  $R=2.2, N=900$

Fig.9 Influence on  $D(n)$  of the boundary conditions,  $R=1.4, N=900$

Fig.10 Distribution  $D(n)$  for  $R/J=2.4, 2.0, 1.6, 1.4, 1.0$ ; open b.c.;  $N=900$

Fig.11 Distribution  $D(n)$  for  $R/J=1.4, 1.2, 1.0$ ; open b.c.;  $N=4900$

Fig.12 Variation of the  $n_0$  parameter as a function of disorder. Also shown the curve  $53(x-0.95)^{-2.5}$

Fig.13 Energy as a function of the system magnetization. Simulation results (bold lines) and analytical form (thin lines). The disorder parameter values are  $R/J=10, 50, 100$

Fig.14 Simulations results for  $R=J$  showing the lower stability of the  $I=0$  state

Fig.15 Hysteresis loop under oscillating external field

Fig.16 Energy per spin of the system states, as the system is demagnetized, for  $R/J=2.0$  (squares),  $1.6$  (crosses),  $1.2$  (diamonds)

## Indice delle figure

Fig.17 Demagnetized state energy per spin, as a function of  $R/J$ , low  $R/J$  values

Fig.18 Demagnetized state energy per spin, as a function of  $R/J$ , high  $R/J$  values, and linear fit with [Eq.21]

Fig.19 Four demagnetized states with (from top to bottom, from left to right)  $R/J=10.0, 2.0, 1.6, 1.4$ . Black dots are spins down, white dots are spins up

Fig.20 Fragmentation index as a function of the disorder

Fig.21 Relationship between the energy of locally stable states and their overlapping

Fig.22 Average overlap for different disorder values

## Chapter 3

Fig.1 Néel's energy landscape, and its derivative. The  $x$  axis is in  $2l$  units.

Fig.2 Parabolic energy profile, and its derivative

Fig.3 Birth of the hysteresis loop, as the DW moves on the pinning field

Fig.4 A set of unreachable stable states

Fig.5 The demagnetized state can be unreachable

Fig.6 The permeability ratio for different pinning fields. The *Néel* label indicates simulations performed using Néel's prescription for the pinning field.

Fig.7 Three hysteresis loops of different amplitudes, showing the behavior in the Rayleigh region (inner loops) and of the demagnetizing field (outer loop)

Fig.8 The parameter  $b$  value from the loss at low fields

## Chapter 4

Fig.1 Three dynamical loops are shown, at increasing frequencies

Fig.2 Dynamical loss, shown as a function of  $\omega$

Fig.3 Stationary velocity distribution

Fig.4 Birth of the hysteresis loop as a DW moves on the pinning field at  $\dot{h}_a > 0$

Fig.5 Time behaviour of measured Barkhausen flux rate  $\dot{\Phi}$  at three different values of the parameter  $c$ . We use the dimensionless quantities  $u = t/\tau$  and  $v = (\sigma G / A S \mu) \dot{\Phi}$ . The related Cantor set of dimension  $D = 1 - c$  is shown for a value of the resolution parameter  $r = 0.1$ .

## Indice delle figure

Fig.6 Cantor dust, until the third generation

Fig.7 G–area vs. G–duration relation. Note that at high area values, the exponential cut–off in the expression of  $P(v)$  decreases the area of long duration jumps.

Fig.8 Experimental set–up for BE measurements

Fig.9 Example of experimental amplitude distributions on a 1.8% wt. Si–Fe alloy fitted by [Eq.24] at  $c=0.9$ ,  $c=4$ ,  $c=19$ ,  $c=40$ . The positive and negative induced flux rates are referred to signals taken along the two linear branches of the hysteresis loop.

Fig.10 Power spectrum of the Barkhausen signal, Si–Fe sample, at  $c = 0.05$  (A),  $c = 0.15$  (B), and  $c = 0.23$  (C), where it is shown the amplitude proportional to  $c$ . The theoretical prediction [Eq.25] is shown for comparison (dash line).

Fig.11 Power spectrum of the Barkhausen signal,  $\text{Fe}_{64}\text{Co}_{21}\text{B}_{15}$  sample, at different magnetizing frequencies  $c=0.2;0.4;0.8;1.6;4.5;12$

Fig.12 BJ size and duration distributions measured on a Si–Fe sample,  $c=0.15$ . Overimposed are the power–law fits  $P(\Delta u) \sim \Delta u^{-\gamma}$ ,  $P(\Delta x) \sim \Delta x^{-\beta}$ , that give  $\gamma=1.76$ ;  $\beta=1.42$

Fig.13 Measured scaling exponents as a function of the resolution parameter  $r$ . The values are nearly constant in the region  $0.05 < r < 0.2$ .

Fig.14 BJ duration and size exponents as a function of the magnetizing frequency, and the theoretical prediction  $\beta = 2 - c$ ;  $\gamma = 3/2 - c/2$

Fig.15 Example of a G–length vs. G–area relation ( $c = 0.15$ ).

Fig.16 Richardson plot of the length of the Barkhausen signal ( $c = 0.15$ ) as a function of the step  $\eta$ . The fit in the central region of the data (see text) gives  $\Delta \sim 1.53$

Fig.17 Log–log plot of the gap's length distribution

Fig.18 Log–log plot of the  $\eta$ –variation  $S(\eta)$  vs.  $\eta$ , for  $c = 0.15$ . The slope of the curve  $2 - \Delta_{m\delta}$  gives a Minkowski–Bouligand dimension  $\Delta_{m\delta} = 1.56$  (see Eq. 12).

## Chapter 5

Fig.1 Garnet crystalline structure

Fig.2 Czochralski method used in the GGG growth

Fig.3 Stripe domains as observed in Faraday effect . (Scale:  $900 \mu m \times 600 \mu m$ )

Fig.4 Regular bubble lattice structure. (Scale:  $900 \mu m \times 600 \mu m$ )

Fig.5 Bubble structure, and main physical parameters

Fig.6 Cellular domain structure. (Scale:  $900 \mu m \times 600 \mu m$ )

## Indice delle figure

Fig.7 Optical bench set-up

Fig.8 L126209 sample, saturation loop and minor loops

Fig.9 L118422 sample, saturation hysteresis loop

Fig.10 L125503 sample, minor and saturation loops

Fig.11 L114402(4) sample, saturation loops

Fig.12 126-089 sample, minor and saturation loops

Fig.13 L090101 sample, minor and saturation loops

Fig.14 L090101 sample, virgin curve

Fig.15 dependence of the coercive field  $H_c$  on the maximum applied field  $H_m$

Fig.16 Widening of the loop at increasing frequencies. Sample L126209.

Fig.17 L126209 sample, coercive field frequency dependence

Fig.18 L125503 sample, coercive field frequency dependence

Fig.19 L090101 sample, coercive field frequency dependence

Fig.20 Relaxation phenomena in garnets, as measured with VSM. Magnetization axis is in arbitrary units

Fig.21 In this BW reduced image it is possible to recognize the oppositely magnetized striped regions, as well as an inset showing the level at which the pixels start becoming visible

Fig.22 L126209 sample, domain wall energy density  $E_{DW}$  and dipolar energy density  $E_{DIP}$ . Magnetic history: from negative to positive saturation

Fig.23 L126209 sample, free energy density. Magnetic history: from negative to positive saturation

Fig.24 126-089 sample, field interaction energy density  $E_H$  and domain wall energy density  $E_{DW}$ . Magnetic history: from demagnetized state to positive saturation

Fig.25 126-089 sample, dipolar energy density  $E_{DIP}$  and total energy density  $E_{DW}$ . Magnetic history: from demagnetized state to positive saturation

Fig.26 Sample L126209, surface energy density along the major hysteresis loop (black circles) and at the demagnetized state (white triangles)

Fig.27 A post-processed garnet image, and the corresponding image after etching

Fig.28 Simple graph, with vertices labeled.

Fig.29 Top row: original images; Bottom row: etched images. Left column: remanence state; right column: demagnetized state

## Indice delle figure

Fig.30 Two dimensional FFT on different garnet states: at remanence (left) and in the demagnetized state (right). Sample:L126209

Fig.31 Balaban index probability distribution for the two sets of images

Fig.32 AIRTRON sample: DC demagnetized state, acquired with the CCD camera, after background subtraction

Fig.33 Hysteresis loops of: individual pixel; array of 4 pixels; array of over 100 pixels

Fig.34 Up and down switching fields measured

Fig.35 Dependence of the up switching field on the number  $n$  of nearest neighbours in the up magnetization state

Fig.36 AIRTRON sample Henkel plot. The  $i_d = 1 - 2i_r$  line is for non-interacting particles; the  $i_d = 2i_r$  line is the lower limit for Henkel plots; the  $i_d = 1 - 2\sqrt{i_r}$  line is the prediction for system whose Preisach distribution is factorizable as  $p(a,b)=f(a)f(-b)$